Measurement of Large Spiral and Target Waves in Chemical Reaction-Diffusion-Advection Systems: Turbulent Diffusion Enhances Pattern Formation
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In the absence of advection, reaction-diffusion systems are able to organize into spatiotemporal patterns, in particular spiral and target waves. Whenever advection is present that can be parametrized in terms of effective or turbulent diffusion $D_\alpha$, these patterns should be attainable on a much greater, boosted length scale. However, so far, experimental evidence of these boosted patterns in a turbulent flow was lacking. Here, we report the first experimental observation of boosted target and spiral patterns in an excitable chemical reaction in a quasi-two-dimensional turbulent flow. The wave patterns observed are $\sim$50 times larger than in the case of molecular diffusion only. We vary the turbulent diffusion coefficient $D_\alpha$ of the flow and find that the fundamental Fisher-Kolmogorov-Petrovsky-Piskunov equation, $v_t = \sqrt{D_\alpha}$, for the asymptotic speed of a reactive wave remains valid. However, not all measures of the boosted wave scale with $D_\alpha$ as expected from molecular diffusion, since the wave fronts turn out to be highly filamentous.
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Pattern formation in reaction-diffusion-advection (RDA) systems is an important process in many natural and man-made systems, e.g., plankton growth and iron fertilization in the ocean [1], dispersion of pollutants in the atmosphere, and optimal mixing in chemical reactors [2]. Spiral and target waves have been observed on small scales in various active media, e.g., in chicken retina [3], cardiac tissue [4], or chemical reactions [5,6]. From a geophysical viewpoint it is of crucial interest if these reaction-diffusion patterns can also be found in large scale systems involving turbulent advection, as, for example, plankton dynamics in the ocean affecting CO$_2$ absorption [1,2,7]. Theoretically, the appearance of spiral and target waves should be possible in RDA systems whenever the advection term can be parametrized as a global diffusion coefficient [8]. However, so far, experimental evidence of these patterns in turbulent flows is lacking. Despite the importance of pattern formation in RDA systems only very few laboratory experiments on turbulent fluid flow involve reaction kinetics [9], and to our knowledge, none has considered excitable kinetics so far. Considerable numerical and experimental effort has focused on cellular and chaotic flows [2,10–12]. However, in those flows the derivation of a global diffusion coefficient seems to be more involved than in turbulent flows [12], and thus the propagation of reactive waves is likely to depend on the details of the flow [10]. In this Letter, we show experimentally that pattern formation, in particular, spiral and target waves can occur in turbulent fluid flows and we find that the front expansion is governed by the Fisher-Kolmogorov-Petrovsky-Piskunov (FKPP) equation.

We create a quasi-two-dimensional turbulent flow using the Faraday experiment [13,14]; i.e., we vertically vibrate a circular container of 30 cm diameter filled with 2 mm of an excitable cyclohexanedione and ferroin based Belousov-Zhabotinsky reaction [14,15] (see Supplemental Material for methods summary and supplementary Fig. S1 [16]). The dynamics of this chemical reaction can be well observed with a camera in the visible range due to the oxidation of the reddish catalyst ferroin [Fe(phen)$_2^{2+}$] to the blue ferriin [Fe(phen)$_2^{3+}$] [17]. We vary the intensity of the turbulence and thus the turbulent diffusion constant [12] $D_\alpha$ by altering the amplitude $a_0$ of the acceleration and the frequency $f$ of the vertical forcing.

In Fig. 1 we present examples of boosted spiral and target waves in the turbulent flow. The upper panel, 1–3, shows an image sequence of a spontaneous boosted spiral and the lower panel, 1–3, a spontaneous boosted target wave (Supplemental Material, movies M1 and M2 [16]). Without any fluid flow the much smaller usual target and spiral patterns of a pure reaction-diffusion system can be observed. They are shown for comparison on the right (image 4). The boosted patterns are a very robust phenomenon and were found for a large range of forcing parameters, $f = 30–140$ Hz, $a_0 = 0.6–2.5$g, $g$ being the gravitational constant. The temporal persistence of the target patterns varies from some minutes for high forcing amplitudes $a_0$, to up to one hour for lower ones. The probability for a target to form is higher for lower forcing. This is most likely related to more long-lived structures in the fluid flow [18] that favor the occurrence of a perturbation that is persistent and big enough to trigger a new wave [19]. Usually, but not always, target waves are triggered at the border of the container. Spiral waves form spontaneously, most often created by the breakup of target waves due to interactions with the turbulent fluid flow or the boundary. Figure 1 (upper panel, image 2) shows the trajectory of a spiral tip in time. The temporal persistence of the spiral is
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systems [2] (Supplemental Material, movie another typical pattern known from reaction-diffusion systems, namely, the typical time scale $\tau_{\text{reac}}$ of the reaction and the diffusion constant $D_s$. The solid line in Figs. 2(a) and inset 2(b) represents the FKPP prediction from the molecular diffusion case extended to larger values of the diffusion constant. The reaction time scale for our chemical reaction was estimated to be $\tau_{\text{reac}} = (0.8 \pm 0.3)$ s from inserting the measured velocity of the molecular-diffusion-induced target wave into the FKPP relation and using a molecular diffusion coefficient reported in the literature, $D_{\text{mol}} = (1.3-2.0) \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s [19,24,25]. We find that the FKPP relation remains valid for well developed boosted target waves in the quasi-two-dimensional turbulent flow, see Figs. 2(a) and inset 2(b). Surprisingly, the front velocities $v_f$ of the boosted target waves agree with the prediction derived from the FKPP equation using only measurements from experiments with molecular diffusion.

For a quantitative analysis of the rotation periods of the boosted spirals we varied the turbulent diffusion of the flow. This was achieved by changing only the forcing amplitude $a_0$ leaving the forcing frequency, and thus the Faraday wavelength $\lambda_F$ constant [13,22] ($f = 50$ Hz, $[\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4] = 0.6$ M, Supplemental Material, example movie M3 [16]). However, the periods of the boosted spirals at $f = 50$ Hz are all in the same range of $T = 30-50$ s for all forcings with only a slight tendency towards longer periods for stronger forcings. This might be explained by the augmentation of the width of the boosted autowaves such that the spirals seem to be restricted by their own tail [20]. This self-restriction could also explain why the period of the molecular-diffusion-induced spiral, $T_{\text{mol}} = 18-25$ s, was somewhat lower. In addition to the spiral and target patterns, we also observe double spirals with two free curling ends, another typical pattern known from reaction-diffusion systems [2] (Supplemental Material, movie M7 [16]). All reactive waves had the typical characteristics of autowaves, in particular, they annihilate when they meet.

In reaction-diffusion systems the front velocity $v_f$ of travelling waves can most often be described by the FKPP relation, i.e., $v_f = 2\sqrt{D_s/\tau_{\text{reac}}}$ [2,8,23]. The front velocity $v_f$ is thus simply given by the only two parameters determining the dynamics of the reaction-diffusion system, namely, the typical time scale $\tau_{\text{reac}}$ of the reaction and the diffusion constant $D_s$. The solid line in Figs. 2(a) and inset 2(b) represents the FKPP prediction from the molecular diffusion case extended to larger values of the diffusion constant. The reaction time scale for our chemical reaction was estimated to be $\tau_{\text{reac}} = (0.8 \pm 0.3)$ s from inserting the measured velocity of the molecular-diffusion-induced target wave into the FKPP relation and using a molecular diffusion coefficient reported in the literature, $D_{\text{mol}} = (1.3-2.0) \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s [19,24,25]. We find that the FKPP relation remains valid for well developed boosted target waves in the quasi-two-dimensional turbulent flow, see Figs. 2(a) and inset 2(b). Surprisingly, the front velocities $v_f$ of the boosted target waves agree with the prediction derived from the FKPP equation using only measurements from experiments with molecular diffusion. Front velocity measurements $v_f$ were taken as a mean of at least two different realizations of the experiment and more than 15 different target waves at constant forcing. The front velocity of each target wave was measured by averaging over the intensity of an image stripe along the direction of front propagation and the successive determination of the spatial evolution of the minimal first spatial derivative of the signal in time (Fig. S3, Supplemental Material [16]).

Theoretically, when the reaction time scale is small in comparison to the time scale of the fluid flow, the front velocity $v_f$ is bounded by the unidirectional root-mean-square velocity of the flow instead of obeying the FKPP relation.
lower forcings, i.e., more long-lived eddies and jets, that explained by an increase of coherent flow structures for increases due to two distinct processes. First, the increase turbulent diffusion [Fig. 3(a)], the filamentary structure of the reaction front which is related to the small parts. An important difference is the complex filamentous appearance of the filaments can be explained by the appearance of the tent [Fig. 3(d)]. Second, the sharper and more pronounced speed, Fig. 3 demonstrates that the boosted target waves do order the flow on time scales longer than the reaction time $\tau_{\text{reac}}$. An imprint of the filaments can be seen in the ferriin concentration profiles [Fig. 3(c)]. The peaks of high concentration ahead of the front show the intermittency of the turbulent diffusion process on these spatiotemporal scales. For stronger turbulent forcing, the fronts are less intermittent [Fig. 3(d)]. Second, the sharper and more pronounced appearance of the filaments can be explained by the Damköhler number, $Da = \tau_{\text{flow}} / \tau_{\text{reac}}$, the ratio of the typical time scales of the flow and the reaction. As a flow time scale we use the ratio of the Faraday wavelength and the root-mean-square flow velocity, $\tau_{\text{flow}} = \lambda_f / v_{\text{rms}}$. $Da$ varied from $Da = 0.4$ for the highest forcing to $Da = 1.8$ for the lowest (Supplemental Material, Fig. S2 [16]). For small $Da$, i.e., strong forcing, the fluid flow is fast compared to the reaction time scale which causes the front to be smoother. For large $Da$, and thus lower forcing, the front appears sharper and its velocity approaches the root mean square velocity of the flow in one direction, $v' = v_{\text{rms}} / \sqrt{2}$ [26,28]. This limit is reached in our experiments for small forcings as is reflected by inset (c) in Fig. 2.

FIG. 2 (color online). Front velocity of reactive waves in dependence of turbulent diffusion. (a) The velocity of the boosted target wave fronts $v_f$ (crosses) follows the FKPP prediction $v_f = 2\sqrt{D_*/T_{\text{reac}}}$ (solid line) derived from the molecular case (circle). Dashed lines indicate the error bounds estimated from the standard deviation of the velocity measurements from the molecular-diffusion-induced target wave. Inset (b) shows a close up of the turbulent data pairs. (c) Target front velocity $v_f$ vs turbulent root-mean-square velocity of the turbulent flow in one direction $v' = v_{\text{rms}} / \sqrt{2}$, both normalized to the front velocity $v_{\text{front}}$ of the molecular-diffusion-induced target wave. (d) The measured diffusion coefficients are shown as a function of the Reynolds number $Re = v_{\text{rms}} \lambda_f / \nu$ indicating the turbulence strength, where $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid. Inset (e) shows the absolute diffusion for the flows with $Re \approx 43$, $Re \approx 120$, and $Re \approx 194$ and the linear fit for estimation of the turbulent diffusion coefficient. Inset (f) shows an exemplary energy spectrum of the flow for $Re \approx 120$. A double cascade and a regime with a Kolmogorov type scaling ($E_k \approx k^{-5/3}$) can be distinguished. $k_f$ is the typical Faraday wave number.

relation [26]. Inset Fig. 2(c) shows that in our experiments this limit is only approached for low forcing.

In Fig. 2(d), diffusive transport in the turbulent flow is characterized. The measured turbulent diffusion coefficient $D_*$ is plotted as a function of the estimated Reynolds number for different forcing amplitudes. The turbulent diffusion increases approximately linearly with the Reynolds number as expected. At these Reynolds numbers, the flow is turbulent as can be seen in an exemplary energy spectrum ($Re = 120$) revealing a double cascade and a Kolmogorov type scaling ($\propto k^{-5/3}$) in inset Fig. 2(f) [9,14,27]. The turbulent diffusion coefficients $D_*$ were estimated from measurements of the absolute dispersion $\langle A^2(t) \rangle = \langle (\hat{x}(t) - \hat{x}(t = 0))^2 \rangle = 4D_* t$ [Fig. 2(e)], by a fit to the regime of linear growth. $\hat{x}(t)$ is the position of particle $i$ at time $t$.

Despite the validity of the FKPP prediction for the front speed, Fig. 3 demonstrates that the boosted target waves do not entirely behave like their molecular diffusion counterparts. An important difference is the complex filamentous structure of the reaction front which is related to the small scale stretching and folding processes in the turbulent dynamics [Fig. 3(a), 3(b), and 1] [9,26,28]. For smaller turbulent diffusion [Fig. 3(a)], the filamentary structure increases due to two distinct processes. First, the increase of the length and persistence of the filaments can be explained by an increase of coherent flow structures for lower forcings, i.e., more long-lived eddies and jets, that order the flow on time scales longer than the reaction time $\tau_{\text{reac}}$. An imprint of the filaments can be seen in the ferriin concentration profiles [Fig. 3(c)]. The peaks of high concentration ahead of the front show the intermittency of the turbulent diffusion process on these spatiotemporal scales. For stronger turbulent forcing, the fronts are less intermittent [Fig. 3(d)]. Second, the sharper and more pronounced appearance of the filaments can be explained by the Damköhler number, $Da = \tau_{\text{flow}} / \tau_{\text{reac}}$, the ratio of the typical time scales of the flow and the reaction. As a flow time scale we use the ratio of the Faraday wavelength and the root-mean-square flow velocity, $\tau_{\text{flow}} = \lambda_f / v_{\text{rms}}$. $Da$ varied from $Da = 0.4$ for the highest forcing to $Da = 1.8$ for the lowest (Supplemental Material, Fig. S2 [16]). For small $Da$, i.e., strong forcing, the fluid flow is fast compared to the reaction time scale which causes the front to be smoother. For large $Da$, and thus lower forcing, the front appears sharper and its velocity approaches the root mean square velocity of the flow in one direction, $v' = v_{\text{rms}} / \sqrt{2}$ [26,28]. This limit is reached in our experiments for small forcings as is reflected by inset (c) in Fig. 2.

Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show further properties of the target autowaves in the two extreme cases of the measured turbulent diffusion. Differences can be observed in the wave front velocities, as well as in the frequencies of spontaneous wave formation and in the widths of the wave front. In order to quantify this dependence of the widths of the wave front on the turbulent diffusion,
width of the rising edge \( w_1 \) does not change within the error of the measurement. A possible explanation for this unexpected behavior of \( w_1 \) is the intermittency of the mixing process. Averaging over many sharply defined filaments could give a similar width for the mean profile as the average over a smoother and broader front. This indicates that for low forcings and on the time scale \( \tau_1 \) of the fast forward reaction occurring at the leading edge of the front [Fig. 3(f)], mixing might not yet be well defined by a diffusive process. According to this picture, \( w_2 \) augments diffusively as the backward reaction at the tail of the front is much slower with a time scale \( \tau_2 \) [Fig. 3(f)] and sees a well developed diffusive process.

In summary, we conclude that complex spatiotemporal patterns, such as target and spiral waves, occur in turbulent fluid flows as was shown experimentally. Measuring turbulent diffusion coefficients and the reaction front velocities at various Reynolds numbers we find that they obey the FKPP relation for reaction-diffusion systems in contrast to what was found for similar large-scale patterns in a cellular flow [10]. The overall patterns resemble those of their molecular counterparts; however, an important difference is the filamentary appearance of the front which leads to an unexpected scaling of the front width. We suggest that this phenomenon can be understood by the existence of coherent structures in the flow that are known to exist in two-dimensional turbulent flows. We expect our results to increase the attention on pattern formation in systems where excitable dynamics evolve in turbulent flows, such as plankton growth in the ocean where a ring-like structure, similar to a target, has been reported [29,30].
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Fig. 3(g) depicts the mean profiles of the boosted targets. These measurements were repeated for all turbulent diffusion coefficients [Fig. 3(h)]. While the full width \( w_2 \) of the boosted target waves increases according to \( w_2 \propto \sqrt{D_f} \), as expected for an ideal reaction-diffusion system [23], the