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RESUMEN
El maestro cantero Juan Guas (activo 1453–1496) es famoso por San Juan de los Reyes, el célebre monasterio toledano concebido como panteón para los Reyes Católicos. Pero Guas fue también uno de los pocos artesanos medievales que pudo financiar su propia capilla funeraria. La capilla recoge la memoria personal del maestro y su inscripción sugiere un canon de obras para la posteridad. Su estilo evoca algunos elementos decorativos típicos de encargos más ostensos. Sin embargo, la capilla fue también un sitio de olvido ya que, al contrario del panteón real diseñado por Guas, es un espacio modesto y compartido. De hecho, el sitio sigue siendo poco estudiado, contrastando con la fama de Guas conocido como el “starchitect” de los Reyes Católicos. Este artículo sitúa la capilla funeraria de Guas dentro del contexto de su obra y mito. Al evaluar el papel de Guas en este proyecto, el artículo explora la autopromoción de un “simple artesano” a través de un memorial funerario excepcional.
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ABSTRACT
The late-gothic master mason Juan Guas (active 1453–1496) is renowned for his design of San Juan de los Reyes, the celebrated Toledan monastery initially conceived as a pantheon for the Catholic Monarchs. Guas was also one of very few medieval craftsmen able to commission his own funerary chapel. The chapel is a memorial to himself, and its inscription points to a canon of works for posterity, while the style formally evokes decorative elements typical of far more ostentatious commissions. Yet it was also a site of oblivion, for unlike the royal pantheon he designed, it is a modest, shared space. Indeed, the chapel has been little studied, in striking contrast to Guas’ fame as the Catholic Monarchs’ “starchitect.” This article places Guas’ funerary chapel within the context of his oeuvre and myth. By evaluating Guas’ role in this project, the article explores the self-promotion of a “humble craftsman” through the study of a unique funerary memorial.
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Juan Guas is widely considered to be one of the most important master masons of late gothic Iberia. José Maria de Azcárate even labelled him a “genius” for his ability to adapt flamboyant forms to the needs and customs of Castilian patrons.1 While buildings associated with the master mason—notably San Juan de los Reyes—never ceased to be appreciated for their architectural splendour, exalted patronage and historical significance, the name of the master who constructed them was
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The German traveller Hieronymus Münzer, who visited San Juan de los Reyes when it was still under construction, recorded the content of his conversations with the building’s architect fabrici in his travel diary, but not the name of his interlocutor. As a result, Guas’ connection with the building was long buried deep in the archives. It only resurfaced in the scholarly literature in the 1850s, thanks to the rediscovery of an inscription in the Candelaria chapel of the parish church of San Justo y Pastor in Toledo (figs. 1, 15). As recently unveiled by Daniel Ortiz Pradas, the discovery was first published by José María Quadrado in his Recuerdos y bellezas de España. Quadrado’s words in that book articulate his excitement during an unexpected encounter:

As we were recording the chapels of the right nave, less damaged by the [church’s] restoration, our attention was captured by that of the Candelaria, not for its extremely unattractive, if Renaissance, altarpiece, but rather for the figure of a kneeling man, wearing a dress with cloak and dagger; and looking up to the inscription which decorates the frieze, an unexpected discovery suddenly moved our hearts to joy. The architect of San Juan de los Reyes, the unknown creator of that artistic prodigy, Juan Guas, reveals there his name to a posterity which has apparently not stumbled over it, leaving it to sink into oblivion: there is his figure, there is his chapel, there probably his burial, outside of his magnificent work, which he still refrained from signing.

The inscription on which Quadrado’s attention focused is still readable today:

This chapel was commissioned by the honoured Juan Guas, master mason of the Holy Church of Toledo and master mason of the works of the King Don Ferdinand and the Queen Lady Isabella, he who made San Juan de los Reyes. This chapel was made by Marina Álvarez, his wife, and was completed in 1497.

The inscription offered several important details of Juan Guas’ life and works, many of which were still unknown at the time. It is difficult not to share Quadrado’s excitement at the discovery. While a few funerary slabs and monuments survive, fifteenth-century gothic architects did not commonly commission funerary chapels. Thus, the Candelaria chapel’s very existence offers tantalising evidence of Guas’ unparalleled status. However, Quadrado’s passage reveals a prosopographical rather than a sociological interest. At the heart of his excitement was the glimpse of Guas’ personality which the chapel afforded. The name of the mason had already surfaced in the payment accounts of Toledo cathedral and elsewhere, but only now did he obtain a biography, a face, possibly even a long-buried corpse. Quadrado’s discovery was triggered by the chapel’s effigy, identified as the “figure” of the
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From the nineteenth to the mid-twentieth century, scholars interpreted the chapel and its inscription as an expression of Guas' unique personality and individual genius, rather than studying it as an architectural commission in its own right. As a result, Guas is among the best-known fifteenth-century Iberian master masons, while his modest chapel in San Justo y Pastor is almost as "obscure" today as it was in Quadrado's time. Attempting to readdress this balance of celebration and oblivion, this article will offer a new analysis of the chapel, suggesting that it should not be interpreted as a personal celebration, but rather as a testament to the networks of relatives, collaborators and patrons which the migrant master mason skilfully assembled during his lifetime, and to their role in preserving his memory.

Setting the Scene

Guas' chapel is located in the parish church of San Justo y Pastor, in the highest part of Toledo, not far from the Alcázar. Established after the conquest of the city, San Justo y Pastor is first documented in 1125. The apse, with its...
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brick structure so typical of Toledo, must be the earlier part of the building and may pre-date the large-scale reconstruction patronised by Gonzalo Ruiz de Toledo, lord of Orgaz, in the first half of the fourteenth century, when the richly decorated chapel of Corpus Christi was probably constructed. Three gothic chapels were added to the church’s south side in the late fifteenth to mid-sixteenth century: the westernmost is Guas’; the second was probably that created by Pedro de Ribadeneira at the same time; the third was established in 1537 by Luis de Arévalo el Viejo and later passed to the Daza family. Drastic changes were introduced in the appearance of the church in the following century, when Juan Bautista Monegro directed the Classicising refashioning of the nave. A Baroque plaster decoration and other transformations followed in the mid-eighteenth century.16

Guas’ chapel preserved its gothic design through these alterations, yet major repairs to roof and floors and small changes to the decoration of the altar are documented from 1603 to 1606.17 The tombs or funerary slabs, which probably once decorated the sides or floor of the chapel, may have been removed during these, or later, transformations. An artillery shell in 1936 caused more dramatic damage.18 Nevertheless, by this point, the chapel’s appearance and contents had been recorded by such early art historians as Ramón Sixto Parro, José and Rodrigo Amador de los Ríos and Rafael Ramírez de Arellano, offering some degree of assurance as to the space’s overall design.19

The chapel as it survives today opens onto the nave of the church with a trefoil arch and superimposed blind ogee (figs. 1, 15). The jambs of the arch are decorated with foliage and putti. The coat of arms at the centre of the ogee is quarterly, first and third three crosses patées, second and fourth ermine, with a compass as crest (fig. 2). A coat of arms featuring a different arrangement of the same elements decorates the central boss of the chapel’s lierne vault (fig. 3).20 The chapel’s internal walls are decorated with patterned plasterwork, restored in 1991.21 An early photograph by Casiano Alguacil (fig. 1) confirms that the baptismal font now at the centre of the chapel was only placed in its current location in the twentieth century.

Three niches punctuate the walls of the chapel, but only the central one retains any painted decoration. It features representations of Saints Peter and Paul on the sides of the arch and four

Fig. 3. Vault of the Candelaria chapel, church of San Justo y Pastor, Toledo. Chapel completed by 1497. Source: Costanza Beltrami

Fig. 4. Corbel and vault ribs, south west corner, Candelaria chapel, church of San Justo y Pastor, Toledo. Chapel completed by 1497. Source: Costanza Beltrami
figures usually identified as Guas and his family at the centre (fig. 5). Two adults and two children kneel in a fictive space, in front of a richly embroidered cloth which extends far above their heads. Today, they seem to gaze at the wooden statue of Christ at the Column, which stands in front of the mural painting. Recorded elsewhere in the church in the early twentieth century, this sculpture can be dated stylistically to the late fifteenth or early sixteenth century, in spite of its later polychromy. Moreover, its iconography relates to the chapel’s original dedication to Christ at the Column, recorded in fifteenth-century documents, and so it has been suggested that it was always intended to decorate Guas’ chapel and even that it was carved by Guas, although these assumptions are unverified.22 This space has evidently undergone several large-scale transformations since its first construction. In order to recreate a sense of the space as it once existed in Guas’ time, we must first interrogate the surviving documents related to the chapel.

Establishing the Chapel

Most personal documents related to Guas — including those connected to the foundation of the Candelaria chapel — are preserved in a dossier assembled by a descendant, Juan Ruiz de Alarcón, to prove his purity of blood for admission to the Order of Alcántara.23 Gaining admission was not straightforward, as Juan Ruiz was accused of being related to a judaizing converso, Antón Dientes known as de Toledo, who lived in the late fifteenth century and allegedly married Guas’ sister, Ana.24 Striving to disprove any direct blood relation, Juan Ruiz assembled a number of personal documents about Guas and his close relatives, starting with Guas’ own will, drawn up during an illness on 11 October 1490.

Guas’ will is the earliest known document relating to the commission of the Candelaria chapel. In this document, the master mason asked to be buried in the chapel dedicated to Christ at the Column, which he left “already begun in the said parish church of San Justo.”25 He also requested that construction proceed “according to the manner of the design which I leave for it,” and exhorted his testamentary executors to complete the space as quickly as possible.26 While this suggests that planning and design for the chapel had already begun, at that point the space must have been little more than a dream. Indeed, Guas and San Justo’s parishioners only signed the chapel’s foundation contract on 20 April 1495, approximately one year before Guas’ death, and construction was not complete until 1497, as confirmed by the inscription decorating the chapel’s walls.

The foundation contract drawn up in 1495 between Guas and the parish representatives offers some information on the design and location of the chapel. It specifies that it should be situated off the south nave of the church, near an altar dedicated to Saint Catherine. Opening onto the aisle with “a good door, ten feet wide,” the chapel could expand as far back as the nearby houses of one Pedro Sánchez, but without damaging existing graves in the cloister of the church.27
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This prohibition is probably the reason for the irregular plan of the chapel, which is trapezoidal rather than rectangular (fig. 6), a feature that has gone unrecorded in existing plans of the church.28 As articulated in the foundation document, the new chapel would substitute two tombs that Guas had previously purchased within the nave, close to the main altar.29 These tombs may have been his parents’, but since the foundation documents make no mention of the need to translate bodies to the new chapel, it is more likely that Guas and his wife Marina Álvarez had previously selected a space for their tombstones.

Naturally, moving to a chapel at the west end of the church meant losing proximity to the presbytery. But as agreed in the foundation document, the chapel would have its own altar.30 Moreover, progressing from a simple tombstone to a chapel, the couple created a space for the self-fashioning not only of their individual personalities, but also their dynasty. Indeed, the document insists on the rights that Guas and his descendants would hold over the space in perpetuity. Supported by an annual donation of 450 maravedís, the chapel’s endowment would eventually survive until the nineteenth century.31

Choosing a Tomb

These conditions listed in the foundation document left Guas with an irregular space, far from the high altar. This was not prime real estate. Guas’ decision to seek burial in this church in particular is probably connected to the fact that he was a parishioner. In 1492 Guas owned houses in the vicinity of the church, next door to San Justo’s curate.32 Burial in the cemetery of one’s parish church was standard practice, as enshrined in Alfonso X’s Siete Partidas in the mid-thirteenth century.33 However, the wills of Guas and his family members do not reveal a particular attachment to this parish church.34 For example, Guas did not donate any of his possessions to San Justo, and the wording of his will reveals no special attention to it.35 We can contrast Guas’ circumstances to those of other late medieval archi-
tects, notably Martín Pérez de Estella and Juan de Álava. Martín Pérez was master-of-works to King Carlos III of Navarre at the turn of the fifteenth century. He commissioned a funerary chapel in San Miguel of Estella, church of the parish where he had lived for his whole life, to which he also donated two painted altarpieces and much of the silverware, books, money and clothes which he owned.36 Later in 1537, Juan de Álava established a burial chapel in the church of Santa María de los Caballeros in Salamanca, located precisely “where my wife Elena Sánchez usually sits to listen to the mass.”37 Guas led a relatively peripatetic life, spending long periods in Toledo, Ávila and Segovia as well as at the itinerant royal court.38 Although he probably became a parishioner of San Justo once he settled in Toledo towards the end of his life, he also owned houses elsewhere in the city.39 In fact, the only place with which Guas and his family seem to have had a long-standing connection is Mazarambroz, a village in the municipality of Toledo where Guas already owned land at the time of his wedding in 1459, and where his descendants resided as hidalgos until the seventeenth century.40

While the Siete Partidas regarded burial in the cemetery of one’s parish church as standard, it also enshrined the freedom to be buried within a church of choice: “but if anyone would like to choose burial in another cemetery as well as in the cathedral or in a monastery or in that church where one’s lineage is buried or in any other cemetery he can do it.”41 Thus, burial in San Justo may have been a choice rather than an obligation for Guas. Indeed, the majority of surviving or recorded sepulchers of master masons are located in churches with which they had strong professional ties.42 This seems to have been standard practice in the cathedrals of Strasbourg, York and Seville, among others. In the latter building, funerary slabs commemorated both a master mason like Juan Norman (active at the cathedral between 1439 and 1454) and unnamed early-modern workers.43 Sometimes these burials incorporated personalised design elements: Juan de Candamo, master mason of Oviedo cathe-
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Guas’ choice not to be buried in Toledo cathedral may therefore be considered somewhat unusual, since his relationship to the building was long standing. As is well known, he is first documented as an apprentice working on the Puerta de los Leones in 1453. In spite of his many travels to direct works in Ávila, Segovia and elsewhere, Guas had returned to the city by 1490, working as the cathedral’s master mason, a position which he maintained until his death in 1496. Toledo cathedral was already the burial site of at least one other master mason, *magister operis* Petrus Petri who had died in 1291 and whose epitaph was recorded in the chapel of Santa Marina in the sixteenth century. Describing Petrus Petri as both “he who erected this church” and “he who built this so admirably,” the epitaph points to the master’s crucial role in progressing the construction of Toledo cathedral’s gothic building. Working on the cathedral two centuries later, Guas had a more limited impact in shaping the construction, being associated mostly with renovations and with sculptural decoration, for example in the *trascoro*.

While Guas may not have had a hand in shaping the building to the same degree as his predecessors, burial in the cathedral’s grounds was not beyond his grasp. In 1472 the cathedral chapter decided that burial spaces in the cloister would range in price from 1000 to 2000 maravedís depending on location. In the same year, skilled stone-carvers in the cathedral’s employment earned 27 maravedís a day, amounting to approximately 7398 maravedís per year. Cloister burials were affordable, if a major investment, for successful contemporary craftsmen. The *rejero* Juan Francés notably planned for his burial in the cathedral cloister in 1518.

What we can glean of Guas’ personal finances suggests that he may have been able to afford such a burial, since as early as 1459 his personal possessions were estimated to 50000 maravedís in his marriage contract with Marina Álvarez. As the master’s career progressed, his income skyrocketed. In 1495, one year before his death, he earned 50000 maravedís annually as master mason at San Juan de los Reyes, a position which was far from being his only source of income. In the same year the salary of Mendo de Jaén, the *mayordomo de las obras* (clerk of works), amounted to just 30000 maravedís. While Mendo’s precise role at San Juan de los Reyes is difficult to determine, such a notable difference in salary suggests that Guas’ professional success could challenge traditional hierarchies between royal administrators and craftsmen.

By the time Guas commissioned his funerary chapel, his striking upward mobility had enabled favourable matrimonial alliances between his daughters Mari and Ana Guas, and Luis de Aguirre and Garci Pérez de Rojas. The latter were involved in royal bureaucracy as *alguacil mayor* and jurado of Toledo, and they served the royal household as *aposentador* and *contino*. In January 1495, four months prior to Guas’ decision...
to establish the Candelaria chapel, Luis de Rojas even represented the Catholic Monarchs as ambassador to Queen Catherine of Navarre and Jean d’Albret. San Justo y Pastor did not have the religious clout of Toledo cathedral, and the location of the Candelaria chapel was further removed from the altar than the funerary slabs initially owned by the Guas family. Yet the construction and decoration of a family chapel required and revealed much superior economic resources. Indeed, it corresponded to a future investment, as it required a multi-generational commitment to maintain the space, for which in 1495 Guas pledged an annual payment of 450 maravedís in perpetuity. To some extent, Guas’ foundation articulates the practices of higher social classes. By commissioning a funerary chapel in a parish church rather than purchasing a funerary slab in the cathedral cloister, Guas was perhaps simply establishing as grand a funerary monument as his economic resources and status could afford. However, conspicuous consumption was not the only possible choice. To mention a later but significant example, in his will of 1577 Rodrigo Gil de Hontañón, master mason of Segovia cathedral, requested his burial in the cathedral cloister, although he had also agreed to erect and endow a chapel in the church of San Andrés in his native village of Rasines. Strategies for self-promotion thus coexisted with other religious, political and professional allegiances.

Guas’ social promotion had essentially been facilitated by his proximity to the Catholic Monarchs as royal master mason, a position which he held by 1480, and one exemplified by his role in designing and directing the construction of San Juan de los Reyes. The convent, and especially its church, initially conceived as pantheon for the Catholic Monarchs, occupied Guas in the latter part of his career. It is the only building other than Toledo cathedral to be mentioned in
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The Candelaria chapel’s inscription. Guas’ longstanding connection to the building extended from the professional to the personal and from the individual to the familial. In 1489, about nine years after the first documentary mention of the master’s involvement with the construction project, Caterina Álvarez, wife of Guas’ nephew Fernando de Toledo, provided for thirty masses to be celebrated at this convent for her soul. Similarly, Guas commissioned masses to be said at the convent on the day of his death.

Unlike cathedrals and parish churches, the space of San Juan de los Reyes seems to have been completely reserved for the celebration of Ferdinand and Isabella’s political and military successes. High-ranking, trusted royal officials were buried in the convent, notably Pedro de Ayala, a bishop and royal ambassador to Scotland and England whose tomb still survives in the conventual church, and Hernán Núñez Arnalte—treasurer to Isabella as princess and then to the Catholic Monarchs—whose corpse was deposited in San Juan de los Reyes between his death in 1480 and its translation to Santo Tomás in Ávila in 1500.

In spite of the temporary or permanent burials of such royal officials, the convent’s decoration is essentially based on the countless repetition of royal coats of arms and other royal insignia. A probably apocryphal but highly significant anecdote related in the Libro Becerro of the Charterhouse of Miraflores, another royal pantheon connected to the Trastámara dynasty and Queen Isabella, reveals how such heraldry was actively policed. It recounts how during a visit to the monastery, Isabella smashed a pane of glass decorated with the coat of arms of Martín de Soria, a merchant who had been commissioned to source Flemish stained glass for the building. Only the royal heraldry of her parents and brother was allowed at the site dedicated to their burial and funerary memory. Guas enjoyed economic resources that far exceeded those of a “humble craftsman.” Yet he was perhaps too far removed from the Monarchs’ inner circles to obtain a burial space in the convent that would play such an important role in defining his posthumous fame, resorting instead to expressing a link to this sumptuous royal commission in the inscription decorating the walls of the Candelaria chapel.

Professional allegiances with San Justo may have also informed Guas’ choice of a burial place. His connection to San Justo may have been professional rather than personal. The founda-

![Fig. 13. Portrait of Juana Pimentel with Saint Anthony of Padua and Saint Thomas Becket. Altarpiece of Saint James, Luna Chapel, Toledo cathedral. Commissioned in December 1488 from Sancho de Zamora and Juan de Segovia. Source: Archivo Moreno, Instituto del Patrimonio Cultural de España / Fototeca — Signatura: MORENO-03590_C, CC BY 4.0.](image1)

![Fig. 14. Portal, church of the Assumption, Colmenar Viejo, early sixteenth century. Source: Cruccone on Wikimedia Commons, CC BY-SA 3.0 es](image2)
tion contract describes him simply as the “master mason of stonework.” Moreover, it establishes that the new construction was to border “the chapel that similarly will be constructed close to the altar of Sant Andrés” (fig. 7). Several details of this latter space are closely comparable to Guas’ production, suggesting its design may also be attributed to this master. For example, the sculptural decoration of the entrance arch (fig. 8) is analogous to that of Guas’ own chapel and also to the crossing of San Juan de los Reyes (fig. 9). Instead, the profile of the chapel’s doorway is reminiscent of such designs as the cloister windows in the Patio de Órdenes of the former convent of San Francisco in Segovia, probably designed by Guas but constructed after his death; the early sixteenth-century infirmary cloister at the monastery of El Parral; and the church doorway of San Antonio el Real, likely constructed around 1515 by a collaborator of Guas. Likewise, the vault over the chapel (fig. 10) recalls that of a side chapel in the monastery of El Parral, whose east end was contracted in 1472 to Bonifacio, Guas and Pedro Polido.

The Guas and Ribadeneira families also had personal connections. In 1528, Guas’ granddaughter, María de Aguirre, married Gonzalo Pérez de Ribadeneira, son of Pedro de Ribadeneira, founder of the chapel. Moreover, Gonzalo de Ribadeneira was the son of Diego Pérez, brother-in-law of Francisco Suárez, owner of the high chapel of the Toledan parish church of San Andrés, known as Epiphany chapel. In 1514, Francisco Suárez attempted to sell the space to Francisco de Rojas, a royal ambassador who wanted to establish a funerary chapel for himself and his family. Diego Pérez initially opposed the sale as ancestors of his in-laws were already buried there. Having persuaded Diego Pérez to sell, Rojas and his brother Alonso de Escobar had the space transformed into a virtual copy of San Juan de los Reyes. Ongoing research suggests that the chapel’s founder Francisco de Rojas, who reconstructed the space as a funerary chapel for himself and his descendants, aimed to give an architectural representation of his personal allegiance to the Catholic Monarchs. This was a connection obtained and substantiated by the important diplomatic roles entrusted by the Monarchs to Francisco de Rojas and by the intense epistolary exchange which they engendered. As a valued ambassador, a knight of Calatrava, the founder of a mayorazgo and the descendant of a family of knights of Santiago, Francisco de Rojas’ social status was decidedly superior to Guas’ and his patronage harnessed much more significant personal and economic resources. However, both made similar design decisions. While Guas’ chapel is not directly modelled on San Juan de los Reyes, it offers useful comparisons to Guas’ own.

José María de Azcárate was the first to recognise that the east end of the parish church of San Andrés is a virtual copy of the church of San Juan de los Reyes. Ongoing research suggests that the chapel’s founder Francisco de Rojas, who reconstructed the space as a funerary chapel for himself and his descendants, aimed to give an architectural representation of his personal allegiance to the Catholic Monarchs. This was a connection obtained and substantiated by the important diplomatic roles entrusted by the Monarchs to Francisco de Rojas and by the intense epistolary exchange which they engendered. As a valued ambassador, a knight of Calatrava, the founder of a mayorazgo and the descendant of a family of knights of Santiago, Francisco de Rojas’ social status was decidedly superior to Guas’ and his patronage harnessed much more significant personal and economic resources. However, both made similar design decisions. While Guas’ chapel is not directly modelled on San Juan de los Reyes,
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los Reyes, like the Epiphany chapel —and indirectly, like the Franciscan convent itself— it contains a lengthy inscription which praises the personal achievements of the chapel’s founder and emphasises his relations with the Catholic Monarchs.

Indeed, the inscription in Juan Guas’ funerary chapel, Ferdinand and Isabella are evoked twice, both directly, through the description of Guas’ position as royal master mason, and indirectly, through the key architectural commission of San Juan de los Reyes. Prioritising Guas’ works for the monarchs over other important achievements —for example, his long-standing connections with the cathedral of Segovia, or with such noble families as the Mendoza—the inscription presents Guas’ social promotion as essentially dependent on the Monarchs. Such a promotion relied on exceptional personal encounters. Unlike most of his contemporaries, Guas had privileged and direct access to the royal couple. He was able to discuss architectural matters with Queen Isabella both in person and by letter. As mentioned above, the royal connection continued in the following generation with the successful marriages of Guas’ daughters.

Nobility and Self-Promotion

The status obtained by Guas’ family through his royal connection is perhaps best exemplified by the coats of arms displayed over the doorway and at the centre of the vault of the master mason’s chapel in San Justo y Pastor (figs. 2, 3). Prominent coat of arms are typical of late fifteenth-century architectural projects, both as a means of self-promotion for the nobility and as an instrument of propaganda for the royalty. However, the coat of arms does not lend itself to a straightforward interpretation, especially as its significance seems to have increased over time. No mention is made of it—or of Guas’ possible status as hidalgo—in his will or in that of his daughter Ana. Even more surprising is the absence of this important sign of identity in a document clarifying the ownership of the chapel, drawn up in 1577 to support a claim to the space made by one of Guas’ descendants, Alonso de Aguirre. The main concern of this document is the presence of the inscription in the chapel, rather than any of its decorative elements. Yet it is striking that none of the interrogated witnesses—both literate and illiterate—recognised either the coat of arms or the chapel’s mural painting as signs of the master’s connection to the chapel. One even preferred to evoke the memory of a sculpture which had been removed from the chapel forty years earlier rather than these elements, which should have been in plain sight. Indeed, no mention is made of either Guas’ noble status or of the coat of arms prior to the dossier assembled by Juan Ruiz de Alarcón to enter the Order of Alcántara in 1622, a document clearly motivated by the desire to demonstrate nobility and purity of blood. Here the coat of arms is considered as proof of Guas’ status: “Juan Guas, foreigner in these kingdoms, man noble in his name and arms, as evident from the inscription and coat of arms of his chapel.” This point is further underscored by two family trees contained in the dossier, which position Guas at the top of the page, presenting the master as the root of Juan Ruiz de Alarcón’s dynasty (fig. 11).

Lack of acknowledgement of the coat of arms in the century following the chapel’s creation may imply that this element is not original to the chapel’s decoration, but rather was added by a later descendant as the family’s social and economical fortune continued to improve. For example, the family trees reveal that one of Guas’ grandchildren, don Francisco de Aguirre, became bishop of Cortona, while another, María de Aguirre, married Gonzalo Pérez de Ribadeaneira, knight of Alcántara. The ermine featured in the coat of arms over the chapel’s entrance may thus be related to that appearing on the arms of various branches of the Guzmán family, into which one of Guas’ granddaughters would marry. Nevertheless, it is impossible to match the chapel’s coat of arms precisely with any of the descendants mentioned in the family trees, or indeed with any of the lineages surveyed in Alberto and Arturo Carraffa’s monumental dictionary of Spanish heraldry. This context seems to confirm the traditional identification of the coat of arms as a sign of Guas’ personal success.

Incorporating a compass, a traditional symbol of the master mason’s profession, the coat of arms also emphasises that Guas’ social promotion
depended on a successful professional trajectory. Working instruments were a recurrent feature on the seals and other non-heraldic emblems of tradespeople and in the heraldry of guilds and trade associations.84 As an important working tool for the master mason, the compass was often chosen as an effective symbol by stonemasons, for its primary association with architectural drafting and design evoked the master mason’s unique and most advanced skill.85 Earlier in the fifteenth century, the Navarran master mason Martín Pérez de Estella employed a seal and a coat of arms featuring a compass. Later in the sixteenth century, the decoration of Juan de Álava’s Casa de las Muertes in Salamanca also prominently featured compasses.86

In addition to underscoring Guas’ connections to his craft, the heraldic decoration in Guas’ funerary chapel echoed the customs of the royalty and nobility. In his heraldic treatise Blasón y recogimiento de armas, the herald of Aragón Garci Alonso de Torres condemned new nobles who appropriated the arms of homonymous but unrelated noble families, or else invented new whimsical designs.87 His criticism seems to have been encouraged by a well-established practice. For example, without corresponding precisely to any known design, Martín Pérez’s coat of arms incorporates elements of the heraldry of a prominent Navarran family, the Eulate, to which he may have claimed an alliance, although there is no evidence of direct descent.88 A similar case is that of Juan de Álava, whose father had sought and obtained legal confirmation of hidalgo status. Perhaps because his status could not be challenged, Álava’s coat of arms reproduced precisely that of the Anunçibay, the noble line to which his family, the Ybarra, could demonstrate a connection.89

Garci Alonso de Torres recognised that in his time, “arms are bestowed at will rather than by right, as when the king, our lord, makes someone a knight, as he does on many occasions.”90 Nevertheless, some royal decisions prompted his open hostility, as in the case of the royal master carpenter Jerónimo de Palacios, ennobled by Ferdinand and Isabella following his conversion to Christianity. Torres included the master’s newly created coat of arms in his treatise “against [my] will,” “just because he is the first [knight in his family].”91

In contrast, no record survives that suggests the presence of a coat of arms in Guas’ chapel generated a hostile response. In fact, Guas may not have been the first in his family to claim a place among the lower nobility. The master mason’s will records the name of his mother as “Brigida madama Tastes,” and in medieval French the term “madame” means woman of noble birth, specifically.92 Indeed, a noble de La Taste family is recorded in Guyenne in the mid-fourteenth century, and had branched out to Laon and other French cities by the sixteenth century.93 This partial claim to nobility may have contributed to Guas’ social promotion, making it more readily acceptable. While the coats of arms in the Candelaria chapel do not appear to be modelled on the heraldry of known branches of the de La Taste family, such a connection suggests that his arms not only refer to personal identity and success, but also relate to a distant lineage.94

While a dearth of genealogical information regarding Guas makes it impossible to establish with certainty whether his nobility was completely self-fashioned, or at least partly inherited, such exploration reveals that factors as diverse as professional identity, ancestry and the regulatory activity of heralds may have played a role in shaping the Candelaria chapel’s coat of arms. As a result, using the fields of the coat of arms to elucidate Guas’ life story may be a process more fraught with difficulty than initially apparent. The presence of ermine in the escutcheon has been used to argue for the master mason’s Breton origin, as it has been interpreted as deriving from the coat of arms of the Duchy of Brittany.95 A similar association was part of the family lore of the Guzmán family, also thought to have distant Breton origins on the basis of the presence of ermine in their arms, among other things. Yet at the turn of the seventeenth century historian Prudencio de Sandoval rated this line of argument as rather weak, concluding: “it is not because one displays three fleurs-de-lis, that he is related to the kings of France.”96
A Face-to-Face Encounter?

The positioning of Guas as the root of Juan Ruiz de Alarcón’s lineage in the family trees (fig. 11) is aligned with the master mason’s decision to establish a funerary chapel. While Guas may have obtained his burial rights in one of the more important religious constructions he designed, it is unlikely that he would have been able to sustain such an ambitious project as a funerary chapel in a major monastery or cathedral, a project which would have required not only money but also higher status. His choice of San Justo as burial place was therefore tied to the dimensions and quality of the space which he could secure in this slightly more modest religious building. The possibility of establishing an enduring chapel, treasured by generations to come, was perhaps foremost in Guas’ mind when signing the contract for the foundation of the chapel, which states: “you, the said Juan Guas, will be able to give and donate, exchange, alter, transfer and do in it [the chapel] and with it everything that you and your heirs and successors after you, will want.”97 Like Francisco de Rojas at San Andrés, Guas aimed to create a space which would both celebrate his personal achievements and unite the members of his family for generations. At San Andrés, various generations decorated the walls of the Epiphany chapel with coat of arms representing family branches and matrimonial alliances. Similarly, Guas’ heirs used their prerogatives to modify the space of the Candelaria chapel, for instance by removing a sculpture of the master and by commissioning the mural painting that decorates the chapel’s central niche.

The presence of a sculpture in the Candelaria chapel is noted only once, in the above-mentioned court case of 1577. The embroiderer Baltasar de Erbas reported that “he had heard […] more than forty years ago […] that the said chapel had been built by Juan Guas, master mason of works, and it was said that his was a sculpture then removed from the said chapel.”98 Other than this distant memory, no mention of the sculpture is made in Guas’s will or in the contract establishing the Candelaria chapel. As a result, it is impossible to say whether Baltasar de Erbas’ words refer to a reclining or kneeling effigy, to a centralised tomb or rather to an arcosolium, an arrangement which may have left a trace in the niches still visible in the chapel’s side walls.

In the sculpture’s absence, modern studies from Cruzada Villaamil onwards have focused on the mural painting as a record of Guas’ appearance (fig. 5). As revealed by a 1934 photograph (fig. 12), interest in the mural entailed significant repainting not only from the 1930s to the present, but also in earlier years, as is evident from the contrast in definition between the alleged portrait of Guas at the left of the painting and that of his wife on the right. The painting’s iconography makes a claim to the status of the couple. The golden brocade which adorns the background of the scene resembles such scenes as the donor portraits in the Luna retable of Toledo cathedral (fig. 13). Although frequently portrayed in paintings and other artworks, the brocade was subject to sumptuary regulations, with foreign trade prohibited by the Catholic Monarchs in 1494 and possession by craftsmen and other manual workers explicitly forbidden in 1523.99 Similarly, the figures’ dress suggests a relatively high social position. The pair of gloves worn by the figure traditionally identified as Guas recalls the famous criticism from thirteenth-century Dominican preacher Nicholas de Biard, who accused the “lofty” master mason of ordering his workers about while holding his measuring rod and gloves without actually doing any work himself.100 Overall, the clothing worn by this male figure does not resemble that of craftsmen and builders, but rather judges and scholars, typically draped in long, sleeveless mantles. It can be compared to the gloved effigy of Pedro de Adella, “oficial y criado” of the Catholic Monarchs, in the church of San Justo y Pastor in Segovia (c. 1500), and the unknown donor surrounded by his books in the church of the Cristo del Buen Consejo in the church of Nuestra Señora in Ágreda, Soria (late fifteenth century).101

While mirroring the countenance of such royal bureaucrats as Adella may have supported Guas’ social ascent, there is, in fact, no evidence that the person depicted is actually Guas himself. Generally speaking, several studies have shown that the medieval understanding of likeness and portraiture differed from the modern, complicating the equation of portrait, appearance and identity,
which has animated discussion of the Candelaria chapel since the nineteenth century. More specifically, all evidence suggests that Guas had two daughters and no male children, although a nephew called Antonio de Toledo received a special mention and various donations in the master’s will. Additionally, Guas’ daughters were married women by the time he made his will, several years before the completion of the chapel. On the basis of a study of Guas’ genealogy and of the style of the painting, Chandler Rathfon Post suggested an alternative identification of the painted figures as Guas’ daughter Maria, her husband Luis de Aguirre and their children, and proposed an attribution to Antonio de Comontes (d. 1565). While lack of documentation and extensive repainting to the mural make a precise identification impossible, Baltasar de Erbas’ witness to a sculpture, rather than a painting, of Guas in the chapel can be seen as further proof that the mural must represent the master’s sixteenth-century descendants. Long considered the key to Guas’ identity and the repository of his true effigy, the painting actually points to the shared nature of the Candelaria chapel and to the collective efforts which underpinned its creation.

**Design and Collaboration**

The chapel’s construction is clearly presented as a collective endeavour in the inscription wrapping around its interior, which records that actually, “this chapel was made by Marina Álvarez his [Guas'] wife and completed in 1497.” Hardly any information survives on this woman, who married Guas in 1459. In the marriage contract, her father is described as a laureate (bachiller) from the village of Torrijos in the environs of Toledo. Marina Alvarez may thus have played an important role in enabling Guas to settle and start his own dynasty in Toledo. Although the couple’s marriage contract specifies that the master was already a citizen (vecino) of Toledo, marrying a local was essential to making that right of citizenship stable and transmissible to Guas’ descendants, even if, as the son of two foreigners, Guas would not have automatically enjoyed that right. Women are rarely, if ever, recorded as specialised workers in Iberian late-medieval construction accounts. However, they must often have played supporting roles in both building and business administration, especially as widows. Since Guas’ daughters married court officials rather than craftsmen, he did not have any sons or sons-in-law who could inherit his trade, making his wife’s involvement in the industry after his death all the more likely, especially as the master’s will named her as executor and heir. In this respect, she ensured the practical realisation of the project drawings which Guas had designed for the chapel and whose existence is recorded in his will. Yet the chapel’s inscription also presents Marina Álvarez as the chapel’s patron, a juridical institution which would have enabled her to shape the chapel’s appearance and liturgies. While the absence of any documentation prevents further analysis of this aspect of the chapel’s creation, Marina’s role in giving form to her family’s funerary memory could be distantly related to that of such female contemporaries as María de Luna, Mencía de Mendoza and even Queen Isabella herself.

Marina Álvarez was not the only woman to play a major role in Guas’ self-promotion. Indeed, not the man but the woman occupies the dexter side in the chapel’s mural painting (figs. 5, 12). Institutionally, the funerary chapel was the result of a multi-generational effort. While Guas secured rights to the space and set out how it was to be supported economically, funds established by the master mason to perpetuate the chaplaincy were donated by his daughter Ana in 1525. As time passed, additional family members were called upon to preserve the chapel. Doubts regarding the founder and owner of the chapel surfaced as early as 1577, when a descendant, Alonso de Aguirre, had to demonstrate his right to the space through a certified copy of the chapel’s inscription and witness statements. Alonso de Aguirre was successful, and the chapel remained in the hands of the family until the nineteenth century, although the chaplaincy connected to it became known by the name of another relative, Fernando de Aguirre.

Beyond bureaucracy, the funerary chapel represented a collaborative effort in its construction. While I have noted similarities between the chapel’s entrance arch and that of the nearby Ribadeneira chapel, the overall design of its door...
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is actually most closely comparable to one of the doorways of the church of the Assumption in Colmenar Viejo (fig. 14), whose authorship is undocumented and which was probably constructed at the beginning of the sixteenth century. Stylistically, this door is clearly connected to Guas’ legacy and to the small network of highly-skilled master masons which he consolidated during the 1470s, and to whom he later entrusted the realisation of the many different projects he both designed and supervised at once. Indeed, when the Candelaria chapel was begun in the 1490s, Guas may have been too busy to work on it personally. For instance, in 1493, he appeared at the worksite of Toledo cathedral almost every day, in addition to managing the destajo of San Juan de los Reyes’ church and cloister, and serving as master mason at the Castle of Alba de Tormes. Indeed, some of the chapel’s decorative elements suggest that other masters may have collaborated in the chapel’s design or modified it after Guas’ death. These include the plasterwork which decorates the walls of the chapel and the vault over it. Both have been significantly restored and even partially reconstructed, so their modern appearance should be treated with caution. However, the geometrical design of the plasterwork is very closely comparable to that executed around 1508 in the cloister of the Toledan convent of Santo Domingo el Real, suggesting that it may have been introduced in the Candelaria chapel a few years after its completion.

The vault is even more significant in this respect. Its most fascinating and daring aspects are the ribs which cross each other as they descend and then separate again, eventually coming to rest at the very edge of the corbel which sustains them (fig. 4). Guas experimented with intersecting ribs in the cloister of Segovia cathedral and at the monastery of El Paular, and the parallels between the Candelaria chapel and El Paular are evident in the design of the corbels. But the daring separation of ribs in the Candelaria chapel has no precedent in Guas’ own work. Rather, as already suggested by Javier Gómez Martínez, the closest Castilian examples are the Capilla de los Condestables in Burgos cathedral, completed around 1494 by Simón de Colonia and the capilla mayor of the Hospital of San Sebastián in Cordoba, constructed in the 1510s and also attributed to this master. Moreover, the overall design of the Candelaria chapel’s vault is similar to the side aisles of Astorga cathedral, also connected to Simon de Colonia in the early sixteenth century. Some degree of exchange between Guas and Simón de Colonia is certain. The latter artist was called to San Juan de los Reyes as an advisor in 1494 and returned there after Guas’ death. As mentioned above, by his death in 1496 Guas had an extensive network of collaborators. Rather than ascribing the practical construction of the vault in the Candelaria chapel to Simón de Colonia or any particular master, it is more useful to consider how the possibility of Colonia’s involvement may alter our understanding of the space. In spite of its apparent architectural simplicity, the chapel’s design may well draw on the exchange of ideas between the two leading masters of the late fifteenth century.

Conclusion

Ultimately, Guas’ chapel must be examined as a funerary construction in its own right, rather than as a repository of the master’s “genius.” Since the nineteenth century, the space has been regarded as the site of Guas’ “real” identity, used to substantiate wider claims of the importance of his alleged architectural innovations and of the nation-building role of the commissions entrusted to him. A reconsideration of the chapel’s architectural elements, the chronology of its creation and the institutional and social context of its foundation suggests a far more nuanced and complex picture. While several questions remain open, some general points are clear. In its architectural decoration, the building pays tribute to Guas’ network of relatives and skilled collaborators, rather than to his invention as a “starchitect.” Indeed, the building was completed after the master’s death, not only by his wife, who oversaw the outstanding construction, but also by his daughter and descendants who ensured the foundation’s survival over time. Instead of following the lead of other master masons, who had chosen to be commemorated at the heart of the most eminent sites of their architectural career, Guas strove to promote his individual achievement while establishing a lineage. By creating a funerary chapel for himself and his family, Guas
was not only modelling the practices of contemporary noble dynasties, but also investing in his descendants to preserve his memory. While later generations dramatically altered the chapel — most notably with the almost immediate removal of Guas’ funerary sculpture — the chapel ultimately survived, enabling the rediscovery of the master mason’s legacy in the mid-nineteenth century. From its completion in the hands of Guas’ wife onwards, it was not simply the master mason’s original project but rather a polyphonic collaboration, which determined the ever-changing appearance of the Candelaria chapel.
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